

STUPP-93-133

January 1993

# Physical States in Two-Dimensional Topological Gauge Theories

YOSHIAKI TANI

and

MASAKAZU YAMASHITA

*Physics Department, Saitama University**Urawa, Saitama 338, Japan*

## Abstract

Physical states of two-dimensional topological gauge theories are studied using the BRST formalism in the light-cone gauge. All physical states are obtained for the abelian theory. There are an infinite number of physical states with different ghost numbers. Simple examples of physical states in a non-abelian theory are also given.

Two-dimensional topological gauge theories have recently appeared in various places of field theoretical models. They have an action which can be obtained from the Chern-Simons action in three dimensions [1] by a dimensional reduction. The  $SL(2, \mathbf{R})$  topological gauge theory was shown [2, 3] to describe two-dimensional gravity coupled to a scalar field [4]. The world-sheet action for two-dimensional stringy black-hole near the spacetime singularity contains an abelian topological gauge theory as a part [5]. The topological gauge theories are also interesting by themselves as an example of topological conformal field theories [6].

The purpose of the present paper is to investigate the structure of physical states in the topological gauge theories. We will use the BRST formalism in the light-cone gauge developed for the gauge group  $SL(2, \mathbf{R})$  in Ref. [3].\* Physical states are identified with elements of the BRST cohomology. We mainly study the abelian theory and obtain all its physical states. There are an infinite number of physical states with different ghost numbers. The physical states are generated by the zero modes of the fields acting on various vacua. The non-zero modes of the fields are excluded from physical states due to the BRST quartet mechanism [7]. We also study non-abelian theories but the analysis is far from complete. We only give several simple examples of physical states for the gauge group  $SL(2, \mathbf{R})$ .

We consider a topological gauge theory with a gauge group  $G$  in two dimensions. The topology of the two-dimensional surface is chosen to be  $M = \mathbf{R} \times S^1$  with coordinates  $x^\mu$  ( $\mu = 0, 1$ ),  $x^0 \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $0 \leq x^1 < 2\pi$ . The action, which can be obtained from the Chern-Simons action in three dimensions by a dimensional reduction, is given by

$$S = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_M d^2x \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \text{tr}(\phi F_{\mu\nu}), \quad (1)$$

where  $\phi$  is a scalar field in the adjoint representation of  $G$  and  $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu + [A_\mu, A_\nu]$  is the field strength of the gauge field  $A_\mu$ . These fields are matrix valued and can be expanded in generators  $T_a$  ( $a = 1, \dots, \dim G$ ) of the Lie algebra of  $G$ , e.g.  $\phi = \phi^a T_a$ . The generators satisfy

$$[T_a, T_b] = f_{ab}^c T_c, \quad \text{tr}(T_a T_b) = \frac{1}{2} \eta_{ab}, \quad (2)$$

---

\*In Ref. [3] the term ‘light-cone gauge’ was used for a different gauge condition from ours.

where  $f_{ab}^c$  and  $\eta_{ab}$  are the structure constant and the Killing metric of the Lie algebra of  $G$ . Our convention for the antisymmetric tensor  $\epsilon^{\mu\nu}$  is  $\epsilon^{01} = +1$ .

The action (1) is invariant under the gauge transformation

$$\delta A_\mu = \partial_\mu \epsilon + [A_\mu, \epsilon], \quad \delta \phi = [\phi, \epsilon]. \quad (3)$$

We use the light-cone gauge [8]  $A_-^a = 0$  to fix the gauge invariance, where we have defined the light-cone directions  $x^\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x^0 \pm x^1)$ . Following the standard Faddeev-Popov procedure we obtain the gauge fixed action

$$S_{\text{GF}} = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_M d^2x \operatorname{tr} (A_+ \partial_- \phi + b_+ \partial_- c), \quad (4)$$

where  $b_+, c$  are the Faddeev-Popov ghost fields. It should be noted that the bosonic fields  $A_+, \phi$  and the fermionic fields  $b_+, c$  have the same spin content and the same first order action. The gauge fixed action (4) is invariant under the nilpotent BRST transformation

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_B A_+ &= \partial_+ c + [A_+, c], \\ \delta_B \phi &= [\phi, c], \\ \delta_B b_+ &= -\partial_+ \phi - [A_+, \phi] - \{b_+, c\}, \\ \delta_B c &= -c^2. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

The BRST charge is obtained by the Noether procedure as

$$Q_B = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\pi} \int dx^1 \operatorname{tr} [c (\partial_+ \phi + [A_+, \phi]) + b_+ c^2]. \quad (6)$$

Quantization of field theories with first order actions such as Eq. (4) was generally discussed in Ref. [9]. Solutions of the equations of motion are expanded in oscillator modes as

$$\begin{aligned} A_+^a &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}i} \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} \beta_n^a z^{-n}, & \phi_a &= \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} \gamma_{na} z^{-n}, \\ b_+^a &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}i} \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} b_n^a z^{-n}, & c_a &= \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} c_{na} z^{-n}, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where  $z = e^{\sqrt{2}ix^+}$ . The coefficients satisfy the canonical (anti-)commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned} [\gamma_{ma}, \beta_n^b] &= \delta_a^b \delta_{m+n,0}, & \{c_{ma}, b_n^b\} &= \delta_a^b \delta_{m+n,0}, \\ \text{other (anti-)commutators} &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

and the hermiticity conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_n^{a\dagger} &= -\beta_{-n}^a, & \gamma_{na}^\dagger &= \gamma_{-na}, \\ b_n^{a\dagger} &= b_{-n}^a, & c_{na}^\dagger &= c_{-na}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Following Ref. [9] we introduce the  $q$ -vacua  $|q\rangle_B$  and  $|q\rangle_F$  for the  $\beta$ - $\gamma$  and  $b$ - $c$  systems respectively. They are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_n^a |q\rangle_B &= 0 \quad (n \geq -q_a), & \gamma_{na} |q\rangle_B &= 0 \quad (n \geq q_a + 1), \\ b_n^a |q\rangle_F &= 0 \quad (n \geq -q_a), & c_{na} |q\rangle_F &= 0 \quad (n \geq q_a + 1), \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where  $q$  denotes a set of integers  $q_a$  ( $a = 1, \dots, \dim G$ ). The ordinary  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbf{C})$  invariant vacua are  $|q=0\rangle_B$  and  $|q=0\rangle_F$ . The Fock spaces for the  $\beta$ - $\gamma$  and  $b$ - $c$  systems are constructed on these  $q$ -vacua. For the bosonic  $\beta$ - $\gamma$  system,  $q$ -vacua with different values of  $q$  generate different Fock spaces. On the other hand, all  $q$ -vacua for the fermionic  $b$ - $c$  system generate the same Fock space. Indeed, the general fermionic  $q$ -vacuum can be obtained from the  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbf{C})$  invariant vacuum by acting the oscillator modes as

$$|q\rangle_F = \prod_a |q_a\rangle_a, \quad |q\rangle_a = \begin{cases} b_{-q}^a b_{-q+1}^a \cdots b_{-1}^a |0\rangle_a & (q > 0), \\ c_{q+1a} c_{q+2a} \cdots c_{0a} |0\rangle_a & (q < 0). \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

The  $q$ -vacuum of the total system is defined by

$$|q\rangle \equiv |q\rangle_B \otimes |-q-1\rangle_F. \quad (12)$$

A choice of the vacuum in the fermionic sector is a matter of conventions since all the  $q$ -vacua generate the same Fock space as discussed above. The choice in Eq. (12) is convenient for later analyses. If the ghost number of  $|0\rangle$  is defined to be zero, the ghost number of  $|q\rangle$  is  $\sum_a q_a$ . With respect to the  $q$ -vacuum (12) the modes

$\beta_n^a, c_{an}$  ( $n \geq -q_a$ ) and  $\gamma_{na}, b_n^a$  ( $n \geq q_a + 1$ ) are annihilation operators, while other modes are creation operators. The whole space of states is  $\oplus_q F_q$ , where  $F_q$  is the Fock space constructed on the  $q$ -vacuum (12).

The bra  $q$ -vacua conjugate to the above ket  $q$ -vacua  ${}_B \langle q| \equiv (|q\rangle_B)^\dagger$ ,  ${}_F \langle q| \equiv (|q\rangle_F)^\dagger$  satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} {}_B \langle q| \beta_n^a &= 0 \quad (n \leq q_a), & {}_B \langle q| \gamma_{na} &= 0 \quad (n \leq -q_a - 1), \\ {}_F \langle q| b_n^a &= 0 \quad (n \leq q_a), & {}_F \langle q| c_{na} &= 0 \quad (n \leq -q_a - 1). \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

The bra  $q$ -vacuum of the total system is defined by

$$\langle q| \equiv {}_B \langle q| \otimes {}_F \langle -q - 1| \quad (14)$$

and satisfies the orthonormality condition

$$\langle -q - 1| q' \rangle = \delta_{q, q'}. \quad (15)$$

In terms of the oscillator modes the BRST charge is given by

$$Q_B = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} c_{-n a} \left( J_{\text{matt } n}^a + \frac{1}{2} J_{\text{gh } n}^a \right), \quad (16)$$

where the gauge currents for  $\phi$ ,  $A_\mu$  and the ghost fields are

$$J_{\text{matt } n}^a = i n \gamma_n^a - i f_{bc}^a \sum_{m \in \mathbf{Z}} \beta_{n-m}^b \gamma_m^c, \quad J_{\text{gh } n}^a = -i f_{bc}^a \sum_{m \in \mathbf{Z}} b_{n-m}^b c_m^c. \quad (17)$$

The BRST charge can be shown to be hermitian  $Q_B^\dagger = Q_B$  and nilpotent  $Q_B^2 = 0$ . The total gauge current  $J_n^a$  and the Virasoro generators  $L_n$  can be expressed as BRST-exact forms

$$\begin{aligned} J_n^a &= J_{\text{matt } n}^a + J_{\text{gh } n}^a = \{Q_B, b_n^a\}, \\ L_n &= \sum_{m \in \mathbf{Z}} m N_q \left( \beta_{n-m}^a \gamma_{ma} + b_{n-m}^a c_{ma} \right) = \{Q_B, G_n\}, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where  $N_q$  is the normal ordering with respect to the  $q$ -vacuum (12) and  $G_n = \sum_{m \in \mathbf{Z}} b_{n-m}^a \beta_{ma}$ .

Now we shall obtain physical states for abelian theories, *i.e.*,  $G = \text{SO}(2)$  or  $\text{SO}(1, 1)$ . Physical states are determined from the BRST cohomology. For the abelian theory the BRST charge has a simple form

$$Q_B = i \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} n c_{-n} \gamma_n. \quad (19)$$

By definition the physical states satisfy  $Q_B |\psi\rangle = 0$  and two states which differ by a BRST-exact state are identified:  $|\psi\rangle \sim |\psi\rangle + Q_B |\chi\rangle$ .

Using Eqs. (10), (13) it can be shown that the total  $q$ -vacua (12), (14) are BRST invariant  $Q_B |q\rangle = 0 = \langle q| Q_B$  for all  $q \in \mathbf{Z}$ . The BRST transformations of the oscillator modes are

$$\begin{aligned} [Q_B, \beta_{-n}] &= i n c_{-n}, & \{Q_B, c_{-n}\} &= 0, \\ \{Q_B, b_{-n}\} &= -i n \gamma_{-n}, & [Q_B, \gamma_{-n}] &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Therefore, a set of the nonzero modes  $(\beta_{-n}, c_{-n}, b_{-n}, \gamma_{-n})$  ( $n \neq 0$ ) forms the BRST quartet [7], and the zero modes  $\beta_0, c_0, b_0, \gamma_0$  are BRST singlets. It should be noted that creation operators are transformed to creation operators while annihilation operators are transformed to annihilation operators. This is due to the particular choice of the fermionic vacuum in Eq. (12). If we used a different fermionic  $q$ -vacuum in Eq. (12), creation operators and annihilation operators were mixed under the BRST transformation.

Following Ref. [7] we introduce the projection operator  $P^{(0)}$  onto the subspace generated by only the zero modes in the Fock space  $F_q$

$$P^{(0)} = \begin{cases} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^1 \frac{1}{m!} (\gamma_0)^m (b_0)^n |q\rangle \langle -q-1| (c_0)^n (\beta_0)^m & (q \geq 0), \\ \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^1 \frac{1}{m!} (\beta_0)^m (c_0)^n |q\rangle \langle -q-1| (b_0)^n (\gamma_0)^m & (q < 0), \end{cases} \quad (21)$$

which commutes with the BRST charge. The projection operators  $P^{(k)}$  onto the  $k$  nonzero mode sector for  $k \geq 1$  are expressed recursively as

$$P^{(k)} = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{n=-q}^{\infty} \left( -\gamma_{-n} P^{(k-1)} \beta_n + b_{-n} P^{(k-1)} c_n \right)$$

$$+\frac{1}{k} \sum_{n=q+1}^{\infty} \left( \beta_{-n} P^{(k-1)} \gamma_n + c_{-n} P^{(k-1)} b_n \right), \quad (22)$$

which also commute with the BRST charge. These projection operators are orthogonal, complete and hermitian:

$$P^{(k)} P^{(l)} = \delta_{k,l} P^{(k)}, \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P^{(k)} = 1, \quad P^{(k)\dagger} = P^{(k)}. \quad (23)$$

We can rewrite  $P^{(k)}$  for  $k \geq 1$  in a BRST exact form

$$\begin{aligned} P^{(k)} &= \{Q_B, R^{(k)}\}, \\ R^{(k)} &= -\frac{i}{k} \sum_{n=-q}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} b_{-n} P^{(k-1)} \beta_n - \frac{i}{k} \sum_{n=q+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \beta_{-n} P^{(k-1)} b_n. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Using the projection operators we can express the general solution of  $Q_B |\psi\rangle = 0$  as

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi\rangle &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P^{(k)} |\psi\rangle \\ &= P^{(0)} |\psi\rangle + Q_B \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} R^{(k)} |\psi\rangle \right). \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

From this we conclude that the physical subspace consists of states generated by only the zero modes. Therefore we have an infinite number of physical states

$$\begin{aligned} (\gamma_0)^m (b_0)^n |q\rangle &\quad \text{for } q \geq 0, \\ (\beta_0)^m (c_0)^n |q\rangle &\quad \text{for } q < 0, \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

where  $m$  is an arbitrary non-negative integer and  $n$  is 0 or 1. The ghost numbers of these states are  $q - n$  and  $q + n$  respectively. Similarly the bra physical states are

$$\begin{aligned} \langle -q - 1 | (c_0)^n (\beta_0)^m &\quad \text{for } q \geq 0, \\ \langle -q - 1 | (b_0)^n (\gamma_0)^m &\quad \text{for } q < 0, \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

where  $m, n$  take the same values as in Eq. (26). Since each state in Eq. (26) has a nonvanishing product with one of the states in Eq. (27), the physical states in Eqs. (26), (27) are not BRST-exact.

Next we shall consider the BRST cohomology of the  $G = \text{SL}(2, \mathbf{R})$  theory [2, 3] as an example of non-abelian cases. The generators of the  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbf{R})$  Lie algebra satisfy

$$[T_3, T_{\pm}] = \pm T_{\pm}, \quad [T_+, T_-] = T_3 \quad (28)$$

and non-zero components of the Killing metric are  $\eta_{+-} = \eta_{-+} = \eta_{33} = 1$ . To find physical states we can consider, without loss of generality, eigenstates of the Virasoro generator  $L_0$  and the charge operator in the Cartan subalgebra  $J_0^3$ , which commute with the BRST charge and commute each other. Since these operators are BRST-exact (18), non-trivial physical states must have zero eigenvalue for both of them.

Let us find the condition for which the  $q$ -vacuum is a non-trivial physical state. The  $q$ -vacuum defined by Eqs. (12), (10) is an eigenstate of  $L_0$  and  $J_0^3$

$$L_0 |q\rangle = 0, \quad J_0^3 |q\rangle = 2i(q_+ - q_-) |q\rangle. \quad (29)$$

Then, the  $q$ -vacua with zero eigenvalue for  $L_0$  and  $J_0^3$  and satisfying  $Q_B |q\rangle = 0$  are

$$|q\rangle : \quad -1 \leq q_3 \leq 2q_+ + 1, \quad q_+ = q_-. \quad (30)$$

On the other hand, the bra  $q$ -vacua with zero eigenvalue for  $L_0$  and  $J_0^3$  and satisfying  $\langle -q - 1 | Q_B = 0$  are

$$\langle -q - 1 | : \quad 2q_+ \leq q_3 \leq 0, \quad q_+ = q_-. \quad (31)$$

Some of the states in Eqs. (30), (31) may be BRST-exact and therefore orthogonal to all physical states. The ket state is not BRST-exact if it has a nonvanishing product with at least one physical bra state in Eq. (31). There are only two such states in Eq. (30):  $|q\rangle$  ( $q_+ = q_- = q_3 = 0, -1$ ). The bra states which have nonvanishing products with these two states are  $\langle -q - 1 |$  ( $q_+ = q_- = q_3 = 0, -1$ ).

There are also physical states which contain the oscillator modes. Simple examples of them are  $(\gamma_0^3)^m (b_0^3)^n |0\rangle$ , where  $m$  is an arbitrary non-negative integer and  $n$  is 0 or 1. We have not obtained all such physical states containing the oscillator



modes. It seems much more difficult to obtain the complete BRST cohomology for non-abelian cases than the abelian case. One origin of the difficulty is that the  $q$ -vacua do not form representations of the gauge group  $G$  by themselves. Acting the  $SL(2, \mathbf{R})$  charge  $J_0^a$  on a  $q$ -vacuum gives a state which contain the oscillator modes in general. To obtain all physical states it is better first to classify all states according to representations of  $G$ .

## References

- [1] E. Witten, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **121** (1989) 351; *Nucl. Phys.* **B311** (1988/89) 46; **B323** (1989) 113.
- [2] T. Fukuyama and K. Kamimura, *Phys. Lett.* **B169** (1985) 259; K. Isler and C.A. Trugenberger, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **63** (1989) 834; A.H. Chamseddine and D. Wyler, *Phys. Lett.* **B228** (1989) 75; *Nucl. Phys.* **B340** (1990) 595.
- [3] H. Terao, Kanazawa preprint DPKU-9207 (April, 1992).
- [4] C. Teitelboim, in *Quantum Theory of Gravity*, ed. S. Christensen (Adam Hilger, Bristol, 1984) p.327; R. Jackiw, *ibid.* p.403.
- [5] E. Witten, *Phys. Rev.* **D44** (1991) 314; T. Eguchi, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A7** (1992) 85.
- [6] T. Eguchi and S.K. Yang, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A5** (1990) 1693.
- [7] T. Kugo and I. Ojima, *Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl.* **66** (1979) 1; M. Kato and K. Ogawa, *Nucl. Phys.* **B212** (1983) 443.
- [8] K. Gawędzki and A. Kupiainen, *Nucl. Phys.* **B320** (1989) 625; D. Karabali and H.J. Schnitzer, *Nucl. Phys.* **B329** (1990) 649.
- [9] D. Friedan, E. Martinec and S. Shenker, *Nucl. Phys.* **B271** (1986) 93.